

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION AT CINCINNATI**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :

Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:09-cr-132

Also 1:12-cv-739

- vs -

:

Chief Judge Susan J. Dlott

Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz

MARCUS SNEED,

Defendant. :

---

**ORDER FOR ANSWER**

---

Defendant has filed a Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct a Sentence, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2255 (Doc. No. 79). The matter is before the Court for initial consideration under Rule 4 of the Rules Governing §2255 Motions. The matter has been referred to United States Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz (Order of Reference, Doc. No. 89).

It does not plainly appear from the face of the motion, the annexed exhibits, and the prior proceedings in the case that Defendant is not entitled to relief. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the United States Attorney shall, not later than November 5, 2012, file an answer conforming to the requirements of Rule 5 of the Rules Governing §2255 Cases. Specifically, said answer shall respond to each allegation made in the Motion, raise any

affirmative defenses available to the United States, and state whether Defendant has previously received an evidentiary hearing on any of the matters he now raises or whether he is entitled, in the Government's view, to an evidentiary hearing in this proceeding.

Defendant may, not later than twenty-one days after the Answer is filed, file and serve a reply or traverse to the Answer. If the Government files a motion to dismiss, Defendant's time to file a memorandum in opposition will likewise be twenty-one days from service, as provided in S. D. Ohio Civ. R. 7.2(a).

October 5, 2012.

s/ *Michael R. Metz*  
United States Magistrate Judge